WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Garrett A. Fail

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Certain of Its Affiliates

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : 08-13555 (SCC)

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

-----X

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8003 and 28 U.S.C. § 158, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors, hereby appeals from the *Order Denying the Plan Administrators' Five Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability Claims) [ECF No. 53107]* of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York entered on June 23, 2021 [Docket No. 61156] (attached as Exhibit A), which denied the *Plan Administrator's Five Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability Claims)* [Docket No. 53107].

The names of all parties to the order appealed from and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of their respective attorneys are set forth below:

Appellant:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.

Appellant's Counsel Garrett A. Fail Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 212.310.8000

#### Appellees:

Maverick Long Enhanced Fund, Ltd., Maverick Neutral Levered Fund, Ltd., Maverick Neutral Fund, Ltd., Maverick Fund USA, Ltd., Maverick Fund II, Ltd., and Maverick Fund, L.D.C.

#### Appellees' Counsel:

Randall Martin Shearman & Sterling LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 848-4000 Facsimile: (646) 848-7174

Solomon J. Noh
Shearman & Sterling LLP
9 Appold Street
London, EC2A 2AP
United Kingdom
Talanhana + 44 20 7655 5000

Telephone: +44 20 7655 5000 Facsimile: +44 20 7655 5500

Dated: July 7, 2021

New York, New York

#### /s/ Garrett A. Fail

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Garrett A. Fail
(Garrett.Fail@Weil.com)

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Certain of Its Affiliates

#### Exhibit A

ORDER DENYING THE PLAN ADMINISTRATORS'
FIVE HUNDRED NINETEENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY CLAIMS) [ECF NO. 53107]
(Docket No. 61156)

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re : Chapter 11

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al. : Case No. 08-13555 (SCC)

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

· -----X

#### ORDER DENYING THE PLAN ADMINISTRATORS' FIVE HUNDRED NINETEENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY CLAIMS) [ECF NO. 53107]

The Court having considered the Plan Administrator's Five Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability Claims) [ECF No. 53107] (the "Objection") filed by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI") upon remand and based on the decision of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in Mayerick Long Enhanced Fund, LTD., et al. v. Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., No. 17-CV-4203 (RA) (ECF No. 16) (the "District Court Order"); and the Court having considered the pleadings filed by LBHI in support of the Objection, including the Brief on Remand in Further Support of Plan Administrator's Five Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 59807] and the Reply in Support of Plan Administrator's Brief on Remand in Support of Five Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 59912]; and the Court having considered the Opposition of the Maverick Entities to the Brief on Remand in Support of Plan Administrator's Five Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 59865] filed by the Maverick entities set forth therein (the "Maverick Entities"); and the Court having considered argument by LBHI and the Maverick Entities following the District Court Order on January 14, 2019 and September 10, 2019; and the Court having considered the prior submissions and arguments of LBHI and the Maverick Entities and the record of these chapter 11 cases; and the Court having 08-13555-scc Doc 61166 Filed 08/03/21 Entered 06/03/21 09:42:38 Main Document

PR0a5200ff726

jurisdiction to decide the Objection and the relief requested therein in accordance with 28 U.S.C.

§§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334 and the Amended Standing Order of Reference M-431, dated January 31,

2012 (Preska, C.J.); and consideration of the Objection and the relief requested therein being a

core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the Court having conducted a conference on the

Objection on May 20, 2021 (the "Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons stated

by the Court at the Hearing, the transcript of which is attached hereto and incorporated as if set

forth herein and made part hereof, it is hereby

1. ORDERED that for the reasons stated on the record at the Hearing, the

Objection is denied; and it is further

2. ORDERED that the claims filed by the Maverick Entities shall be allowed

in the aggregate amount of \$118,100,000 (the "Allowed Claims"); and it is further

3. ORDERED that the Maverick Entities shall not recover, in the aggregate,

more than \$16,200,000 from LBHI on account of the Allowed Claims; and it is further

4. ORDERED that this Order constitutes a final order within the meaning of

28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1) with respect to the Allowed Claims; and it is further

5. ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all

matters arising from or related to the implementation of this Order.

Dated: June 23, 2021

New York, New York

/S/ Shelley C. Chapman

THE HONORABLE SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

# $08-\textbf{08555555} \\ \textbf{56} \\ \textbf{575} \\ \textbf{56} \\ \textbf{123621109312M18} \\ \textbf{12362110931M18} \\ \textbf{123621109312M18} \\ \textbf{12362110931M18} \\ \textbf{123621109312M18} \\ \textbf{123621109312M18} \\ \textbf{123621109312M18} \\ \textbf{1236211$

**Transcript of Hearing on May 20, 2021** 

# 08-**085**5555555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 67140070251/2312/1ter@nf@740070251/235/2411023812M18in Exploidment Pg 2 of 76

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 08-13555-scc
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
8	Debtors.
9	x
10	Adv. Case No. 21-01029-scc
11	x
12	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
13	Plaintiff,
14	v.
15	1ST STEP FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,
16	Defendant.
17	x
18	Adv. Case No. 21-01030-scc
19	x
20	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
21	Plaintiff,
22	v.
23	A.K.T. AMERICAN CAPITAL, INC.,
24	Defendant.
25	x

	Page 2
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01031-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	ADVANCED MORTGAGE LENDERS CORP.,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01034-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	BRIDGEVIEW MORTGAGE CORP.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01035-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	CDC FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

	Page 3
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01036-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	CITYWIDE HOME LOANS, A UTAH CORP.,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01037-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	CREATIVE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS 2000 INC.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01040-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	EMPIRE FINANCIAL SERVICES INC.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

### 08-**0855355**55 scDodD61c167156 illed 671607061/23521ter&chfæ7607061/23524103312M1&in Exphibrinent Prog 150 of 1776

Page 4
Adv. Case No. 21-01041-scc
x
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
EXCEPTIONAL FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS INC.,
Defendant.
x
Adv. Case No. 21-01042-scc
x
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
FAMILY MORTGAGE INC.,
Defendant.
x
Adv. Case No. 21-01043-scc
x
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
FIRST BANKER MORTGAGE CORPORATION,
Defendant.
x

# 08-**085**5555555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 6716070c1/23521ter@nf@76070c1/2352110cc12312M18in Explicition ent Prog 161.00f7716

	Page 5
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01044-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	FIRST INTEGRITY MORTGAGE SERVICES, INC.,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01045-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	GABRIEL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01046-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	GREENBACK FUNDING INC.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

	Page 6
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01048-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	HOMESERVICES LENDING, LLC,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01051-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	KAPPEL MORTGAGE GROUP INC.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01052-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	KENNEDY MORTGAGE CORP.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

#### 08-**0855355**5555cDodD61c167156-illed 6716070261/23*E*21ter&chfæ76070261/236/24102812M1&in Exphibrinent Prog 183 of 1776

	Page 7
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01054-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	LENDING BEE INC.,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01055-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	MARYLAND RESIDENTIAL LENDING LLC,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01057-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	MORTGAGE PLUS INC.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

	Page 8
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01058-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	NRF FUNDING CORP.,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01059-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	NVR MORTGAGE FINANCE.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01061-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	${f v}$ .
22	PATRIOT MORTGAGE CO. INC.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

# 08-**085**5555555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 67140070251/23521ter@nf@740070251/235211023812M18in Exabibrinent Pg 16 of 76

	Page 9
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01062-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	PRIME TIME MORTGAGE CORP.,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01063-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	SAAB FINANCIAL CORP.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01064-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	SCOTT EDWARD LANDEAU,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

#### 08-0855355555ccDodD6dc167156-illed 67140070261/23521ter45ch166749070261/2352411033312M18in Exabilininent Pg 16 of 76

	Page 10
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01065-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	SHORELINE MORTGAGE CORPORATION,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01066-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	SMART MORTGAGE CENTER INC.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01067-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	SOUTHERN OAKS MORTGAGE INC.,
23	Defendant.
24	x
25	

# 08-**085**5555555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 67140070251/23521ter@nf@740070251/235241023812M18in Exabibrinent Pg 12 of 76

	Page 11
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01070-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	TRUST DEED OF CALIF. INC. MTG DIVISION,
7	Defendant.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01071-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	UNITED NORTHERN MORTGAGE BANKERS, LTD.,
15	Defendant.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01073-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	FIRST OHIO BANC & LENDING, INC. et al.,
23	Defendants.
24	x
25	

# 08-**085**5555555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 67140070251/23521ter@nf@740070251/235241023812M18in Exabibrinent Pg 18 of 76

	Page 12
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01075-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	ALDERUS FUNDING & INVESTMENTS LLC et al.,
7	Defendants.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01076-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	VIRGINIA COMMERCE BANK et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01078-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	${f v}$ .
22	FISHER FINANCIAL GROUP INC. et al.,
23	Defendants.
24	x
25	

# 08-0855555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 67140070261/23521ter@chfe740070261/235241023812M18in Exploidment Pg 19 of 76

x
x
x
x
x
x

#### 08-0855355555ccDodD61c167156-illed 67140070261/23521ter45ch162740070261/2352411023312M18in Exabilininent Pg 28 of 76

	Page 14
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01085-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	CENTEX CAPITAL CORP. et al.,
7	Defendants.
8	x
9	Adv. Case No. 21-01086-scc
10	x
11	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.
14	MID-STATE BANK& TRUST et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 21-01087-scc
18	x
19	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	STERLING MORTGAGE SVCS. OF THE TREASURE COAST, INC.,
23	Defendants.
24	x
25	

# 08-0855555555c0c0c0c167156-iled 67140070261/23521ter@chfe740070261/235241023812M18in Exploidment Pg 26 of 76

	Page 15
1	Adv. Case No. 21-01090-scc
2	x
3	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v.
6	ALL CALIFORNIA MORTGAGE, INC. et al.,
7	Defendants.
8	x
9	
10	United States Bankruptcy Court
11	One Bowling Green
12	New York, NY 10004
13	
14	May 20, 2021
15	10:00 AM
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON SHELLY C. CHAPMAN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

	Page 16
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01029-scc Lehman
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. 1st Step Financial Services, Inc.
3	Pre-trial Conference
4	
5	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01030-scc Lehman
6	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. A.K.T. American Capital, Inc.
7	Pre-trial Conference
8	
9	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01031-scc Lehman
10	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Advanced Mortgage Lenders Corp.
11	Pre-trial Conference
12	
13	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01034-scc Lehman
14	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Bridgeview Mortgage Corp
15	Pre-trial Conference
16	
17	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01035-scc Lehman
18	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. CDC Financial Group, Inc.
19	Pre-trial Conference
20	
21	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01036-scc Lehman
22	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Citywide Home Loans, a Utah Corp
23	Pre-trial Conference
24	
25	

	Page 17	
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01037-scc Lehman	
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Creative Mortgage Solutions 2000	
3	Inc.	
4	Pre-trial Conference	
5		
6	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01040-scc Lehman	
7	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Empire Financial Services Inc.	
8	Pre-trial Conference	
9		
10	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01041-scc Lehman	
11	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Exceptional Financial Solutions	
12	Inc.	
13	Pre-trial Conference	
14		
15	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01042-scc Lehman	
16	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Family Mortgage Inc.	
17	Pre-trial Conference	
18		
19	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01043-scc Lehman	
20	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. First Banker Mortgage Corporation	
21	Pre-trial Conference	
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 18
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01044-scc Lehman
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. First Integrity Mortgage Services,
3	Inc.
4	Pre-trial Conference
5	
6	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01045-scc Lehman
7	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Gabriel Financial Group, Inc.
8	Pre-trial Conference
9	
10	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01046-scc Lehman
11	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Greenback Funding Inc.
12	Pre-trial Conference
13	
14	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01048-scc Lehman
15	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. HomeServices Lending, LLC
16	Pre-trial Conference
17	
18	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01051-scc Lehman
19	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Kappel Mortgage Group Inc.
20	Pre-trial Conference
21	
22	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01052-scc Lehman
23	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Kennedy Mortgage Corp
24	Pre-trial Conference
25	

	Page 19
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01054-scc Lehman
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Lending Bee Inc.
3	Pre-trial Conference
4	
5	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01055-scc Lehman
6	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Maryland Residential Lending LLC
7	Pre-trial Conference
8	
9	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01057-scc Lehman
10	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Mortgage Plus Inc.
11	Pre-trial Conference
12	
13	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01058-scc Lehman
14	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. NRF Funding Corp
15	Pre-trial Conference
16	
17	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01059-scc Lehman
18	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Nvr Mortgage Finance.
19	Pre-trial Conference
20	
21	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01061-scc Lehman
22	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Patriot Mortgage Co. Inc.
23	Pre-trial Conference
24	
25	

	Page 20
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01062-scc Lehman
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Prime Time Mortgage Corp
3	Pre-trial Conference
4	
5	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01063-scc Lehman
6	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Saab Financial Corp
7	Pre-trial Conference
8	
9	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01064-scc Lehman
10	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Scott Edward Landeau
11	Pre-trial Conference
12	
13	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01065-scc Lehman
14	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Shoreline Mortgage Corporation
15	Pre-trial Conference
16	
17	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01066-scc Lehman
18	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Smart Mortgage Center Inc.
19	Pre-trial Conference
20	
21	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01067-scc Lehman
22	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Southern Oaks Mortgage Inc.
23	Pre-trial Conference
24	
25	

	Page 21	
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01070-scc Lehman	
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Trust Deed of Calif. Inc. MTG	
3	Division	
4	Pre-trial Conference	
5		
6	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01071-scc Lehman	
7	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. United Northern Mortgage Bankers,	
8	ltd.	
9	Pre-trial Conference	
10		
11	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01073-scc Lehman	
12	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. First Ohio Banc & Lending, Inc. et	
13	al.	
14	Pre-trial Conference	
15		
16	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01075-scc Lehman	
17	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Alderus Funding & investments LLC	
18	et al.	
19	Pre-trial Conference	
20		
21	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01076-scc Lehman	
22	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Virginia Commerce Bank et al.	
23	Pre-trial Conference	
24		
25		

	Page 22
1	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01078-scc Lehman
2	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Fisher Financial Group Inc. et al.
3	Pre-trial Conference
4	
5	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01080-scc Lehman
6	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Nationwide Home Mortgage Inc. et
7	al.
8	Pre-trial Conference
9	
10	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01081-scc Lehman
11	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Mortgage direct, Inc. et al.
12	Pre-trial Conference
13	
14	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01083-scc Lehman
15	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Cranbrook Mortgage Corp et al.
16	Pre-trial Conference
17	
18	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01085-scc Lehman
19	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Centex capital Corp et al.
20	Pre-trial Conference
21	
22	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01086-scc Lehman
23	Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Mid-State Bank & Trust et al.
24	Pre-trial Conference
25	

Page 23 1 HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01087-scc Lehman 2 Brothers Holdings Inc. v. Sterling Mortgage Svcs. of the 3 Treasure Coast, Inc. Pre-trial Conference 4 5 6 HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 21-01090-scc Lehman 7 Brothers Holdings Inc. v. All California Mortgage, Inc. et 8 al. 9 10 HEARING re 08-13555-scc Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and 11 United Northern Mortgage Bankers, Ltd. 12 Status Conference 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 24
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP
4	Attorney for the Debtors
5	500 Fifth Avenue
6	New York, NY 10110
7	
8	BY: JOSHUA SLOCUM (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	
10	LAW OFFICES OF TRACY L. HENDERSON, ESQ.
11	Attorney for Cranbrook Mortgage Corp.
12	25280 Outlook Drive
13	Carmel, CA 93923
14	
15	BY: TRACY L. HENDERSON (TELEPHONICALLY)
16	
17	PERSON LAW
18	Attorneys for Smart Mortgage
19	24330 Leski Lane
20	Plainfield, IL 60585
21	
22	BY: WILTON PERSON (TELEPHONICALLY)
23	
24	
25	

	Pg 20 01 70	
		Page 25
1	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:	
2	CELINE BUEHL	
3	SHARON YE	
4	PATRICK MOHAN	
5	WILLIAM OLSHAN	
6	OLIVIA ITALIANO	
7	INGRID PETERSEN	
8	JOHN EDWARDS	
9	PAUL FRANKE III	
10	PATRICK AKERS	
11	TIMOTHY SALTER	
12	PETER SVERD	
13	ROBERT WONG	
14	DORMIE KO	
15	ROLAND JONES	
16	THOMAS CURRAN	
17	SOPHIA HEPHEASTOU	
18	HANH HUYNH	
19	DAVID SOUDERS	
20	JOSEPH KATZ	
21	JOSHUA ROSENTHAL	
22	LANI ADLER	
23	CASEY HOWARD	
24	AILEEN MCTIEMAN	
25	KENNETH DUVALL	

#### PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is Judge Chapman. We're here this morning for a pretrial conference in connection with a number of adversary proceedings that have been filed in connection with the case of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. I will not recite the adversary proceeding numbers. We can refer to them as we go along.

This hearing is being conducted entirely telephonically via the Court Solutions platform and a recording of the hearing is being made. No private recordings are permitted.

I have a roster of those who have registered to participate this morning. Please identify yourself for the record when you speak and identify the party on whose behalf you are appearing. Please do so each time that you speak so that we can create an accurate record.

There was, I believe filed on the docket, a notice of agenda for the pretrial conference, so perhaps we should use that as a guide, and I will ask someone from the Wollmuth firm if they would like to get us started.

MR. SLOCUM: May it please the Court, good morning. This is Joshua Slocum from Wollmuth Maher & Deutsch on behalf of the Plaintiff, Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.

So this is the initial conference that was

scheduled for 60 adversary proceedings that Lehman filed in March of this year seeking indemnification for certain losses that it incurred related to mortgage loans that Lehman purchased and resold to various entities. I thought it would be helpful in the first point in the agenda as we move forward in how to address these matters to give a brief update on where things stand now.

As Your Honor and several of the defendants' counsel that are here today with us know very well, Lehman has been very successful in commercial and resolving an enormous number of these and similar disputes. In 2016 and 2018, Lehman filed over 150 similar adversary proceedings in this Court, in many cases with much larger amounts in dispute.

Lehman has now settled with the overwhelming majority of those counterparties with only, I believe, 14 active cases remaining. The settlement today includes nearly all of the 25 largest counterparties and 94 percent of the total claim amounts from those cases.

So for these 60 cases, our agenda contains a chart at the back that lays out the status of the cases that still remain. I think we've seen a similar progress in these cases as we did in the earlier filed cases because the chart already does not include 15 cases that have already been resolved and dismissed from this latest round.

Indeed, there are five more defendants for whom we have adjourned this conference today to facilitate the finalization of the settlement. Those five defendants include the largest single counterparty from among these 60 cases in terms of the claim amount. So there are only 40 cases left, and as the status chart further reflects, 12 of those defendants are currently in default not having answered, moved, or obtained an extension to do so.

So as of now, today, we have only 28 of the 60 cases are active; in those cases, 13 defendants have already answered or moved and 16 defendants have obtained extensions, so their deadline hasn't arrived.

So a point of clarification, one of the defendants' counsel called me yesterday and pointed out that in the first case in my chart, the Alderus Funding case, two defendant entities are separately represented. Alderus has answered, but Hamilton Home Loans has not yet answered, but hasn't obtained an extension to do so, so that's why the numbers I quoted added up to 29.

So that's a status of where things are, and I think we are hopeful and optimistic that things will continue to move in that direction because we already have, you know, left than half of the cases that we started out with.

If there are comments or Your Honor has questions,

I'm happy to answer them, but otherwise, I will move on to our comments on the early ADR point (sound glitch).

THE COURT: All right, that summary is very helpful. And as you might imagine, we have been following the progress over this past period of time and the continuing presentation of stipulation of dismissal in connection with settlements, which just to make sure that everybody is on the same page, our visibility into that process is limited to our receipt of the stipulations calling for requesting the dismissal of the case.

So we have no idea, you know, the exact amounts or the nature of the settlements, but we do see that they have progressed to a point where there are precious few cases that remain pending as compared to where we were one or two or three years ago, so that's all a very good trend. And your commentary today on this last group of cases is consistent with the trend that we've seen in the other cases, so I'll leave it to you to continue to take us through the status.

MR. SLOCUM: Okay, thank you. So the point in the agenda is early ADR, so I wanted to address the possibility of early stage ADR or settlement in these cases. Obviously, there's been a lot of activity on the settlement friend, as I just outlined, and we're optimistic that will continue as some of the defendants here get up to speed.

And we think a lot of the success that Lehman has had over the last few years in terms of the settlements is attributable to the Court's ADR orders that have been entered. For cases where it has been tough to settle through private negotiations, we have had great success through court-ordered mediation. We hope that will continue. But I will say that some of the cases that have been filed here today -- these were, you know, kind of the last wave of cases -- that they were filed because businessto-business negotiations were unsuccessful in terms of settlement, and also several of the defendants refused to participate in the court-ordered mediation. We hope that will not continue as these cases have now been filed. But if it does, then we do plan to move to compel mediation for any holdouts. THE COURT: Let me ask on that point, is the refusal to participate communicated by a principal of the defendant or is it communicated by counsel?

MR. SLOCUM: It has typically been communicated by the principals is my understanding.

THE COURT: Okay. I won't say anything more, other than there's nothing before me, strictly speaking, on that. And given the success that's been had, it's disappointing that folks are not willing to consent; perhaps

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 31 1 they'll have a change of heart as you continue to pursue it. 2 MR. SLOCUM: That's our hope as well. We are 3 going to restart those processes to the extent it makes sense to do so with certain defendants and any holdouts. 4 Ιf 5 it's necessary, we would file a separate motion to compel, 6 and so those facts would be before the Court at the 7 appropriate time. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. SLOCUM: Obviously, if private negotiations 10 are fruitful, there may be no need to mediate in any given 11 case, so Lehman will continue to be very commercial as to 12 how to best approach those settlement talks. 13 THE COURT: Okav. 14 MR. SLOCUM: So I would like to -- oh, and I was 15 just informed that, you know, just to clarify my earlier 16 answer, you know, in terms of who has been responding to the 17 mediation. It's sort of a combination among the various 18 entities; it might be the principal or just we get no 19 response at all or sometimes counsel informs us. THE COURT: All right. Well, for the benefit of 20 21 everyone who is on the phone here today -- and there's quite 22 a few -- I would just strongly urge anyone who has not 23 responded to the overtures to engage in settlement 24 discussions, please do so. I think that, you know, it's 25 time and it's, frankly, money well spent rather than

proceeding down the litigation path.

I don't have to remind everybody that it's 2021.

These cases have been around a long time. Certainly, I'm motivated to say that Lehman is done, and I think that all of those who are working on behalf of the estate are anxious to say that Lehman is done and I'm optimistic that we can get there if everyone puts their nose to the grindstone.

Okay, let's keep going.

MR. SLOCUM: Thank you, Your Honor. Josh Slocum again.

know, not every defendant has yet had their deadline come to move or to answer, so this list may grow or the defendants may answer. But right now to date, we have, you know, four defendants have filed motions to dismiss. I'd like to just briefly address not the merits obviously, but the status and the way forward.

Three motions were filed by Miss Henderson on behalf of three of her clients; they're essentially the same motion that certain defendants in the prior indemnification cases filed. So we would submit that a hearing would not be necessary as to those motions, but we can negotiate a briefing schedule with Miss Henderson and submit it to the Court on consent.

THE COURT: All right. I see that Miss Henderson

Page 33 1 That sounds like a splendid idea to me. is on the line. 2 Miss Henderson. MS. HENDERSON: Good morning, Your Honor. There's 3 a significant factual difference in these motions with these 4 5 clients; none of them are part of any of the assignment 6 agreements. So to the extent that the Court becomes aware 7 of that, the factual differences, and feels that it's not 8 necessary to have a hearing, I have no objection. I would 9 just appreciate knowing that that's been acknowledged by the 10 Court. 11 THE COURT: I hear the words that you're saying, 12 but I don't understand what you're asking. The issue on the 13 table is setting a briefing schedule. 14 MS. HENDERSON: I don't (sound glitch). 15 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 16 MS. HENDERSON: I don't object to setting a 17 briefing schedule, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, so set a briefing schedule 18 19 and then I'll make a determination as to whether or not I 20 need a hearing or whether or not there can be a disposition 21 based on the papers, right? 22 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. I think I -- the 23 point I was trying to make is that they are not identical, the motions are not identical. These defendants are not 24 25 similarly situated factually; that was the point I was

trying to make, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. But you have to understand,

Miss Henderson, again, I hear the words, but I cannot

understand what you're talking about; they're different from

one another, they're different from previously defendants on

whose behalf you've moved to dismiss? Let's not hold

everybody up. File your -- agree to a briefing schedule.

I'll read the papers and I'll move forward from there.

I'm not going to acknowledge or respond to what you're saying now one way or the other. Okay?

MS. HENDERSON: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SLOCUM: Okay, Joshua Slocum again on behalf of Lehman. The fourth motion is different than the other three. It was filed by Smart Mortgage.

This motion seeks dismissal on the grounds that the broker agreement for Smart Mortgage between Smart Mortgage and Lehman was not attached to the Complaint. We believe that's not a colorable basis for a motion to dismiss. But we tried to contact Smart Mortgage's counsel several times to ask they either withdraw the motion or at least agree to a briefing schedule, and those outreaches have not -- we have not ever heard back. We sent over the broker agreement to Smart Mortgage's counsel and pointed out that it had been provided to Smart Mortgage on multiple

Pq 36 of 76 Page 35 prior occasions to facilitate settlement talks. We've received no response. So if Smart Mortgage won't withdraw the motion based on receiving the broker agreement, we would ask the Court to set a deadline of June 3rd for Lehman to respond to the motion, but we think this motion is not a good use of the Court's time or ours frankly. THE COURT: Well, we have someone, Mr. Person is on the line representing Smart Mortgage, so perhaps we could hear from him right now. MR. PERSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Wilton Person on behalf of Smart Mortgage. At this point, I would just like to get a briefing schedule. I believe the motion has merits and just wanted to seek a briefing schedule and also a hearing date. THE COURT: Well, hold on. I mean, in the first instance --MR. PERSON: Yes. THE COURT: -- it makes sense to try to do things the efficient and easy way and not the hard way. So my suggestion is that you engage with counsel for Lehman and determine whether or not, based on the information that's

instead of simply insisting that you're going forward. That

just seems to me to be the logical commonsense way to go.

been provided, you will come to a different conclusion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 36 1 So what I'm hearing is that there's been no 2 That's not the way things ought to be done. You 3 need to have a conversation first and perhaps one of you 4 convinces the other and you can go from there. So first, 5 have a conversation, which if minds are not changed, then 6 you can set a briefing schedule and I'll determine at some 7 later point whether or not a hearing will be required. 8 I've done a lot of these. At this point, it's 9 hard to imagine circumstances under which I'm going to need 10 a hearing. But can you please make a representation on the 11 record right now that you'll respond to a phone call from 12 counsel for Lehman? 13 MR. PERSON: Yes, I will respond; it's not a 14 problem. 15 THE COURT: Well apparently, it's been a problem 16 because they've just said that you haven't been willing 17 before. MR. PERSON: Well, I mean, to go into detail about 18 19 that, Your Honor, would be -- I mean, I've had other cases 20 and hearings that I've had to respond to. I haven't had a 21 chance to --22 THE COURT: Yeah, we all have a lot of things on 23 our plate. 24 MR. PERSON: Sure.

It's a pandemic, but it only takes 30

THE COURT:

Page 37 seconds to send an email and trying to set up a time for a call. So I'm just going to assume that that's going to happen and then you all will take it from there. MR. PERSON: Yes. MR. SLOCUM: Okay. Joshua Slocum again for If there's nothing further on the pending motions, Lehman. I will address case management orders. Given the fact that not all defendants have yet responded to the Complaint because they've obtained extensions and other defendants are still getting up to speed, we have not yet worked out a schedule for these cases. Obviously, we will do so and, hopefully, submit agreed scheduling orders to the extent necessary to the Court in due course over the next few weeks to the extent the parties are not obviously able to settle any particular case in the meantime. In broad strokes, we believe that discovery can and should proceed expeditiously. And just so that our position is clear on the record, we don't believe that any stay of discover would be warranted to the extent certain defendants have chosen to file pre-Answer motions to dismiss. In terms of the discovery for Lehman's part, as the Court knows, we've already produced a lot of documents;

it's well over a million in highly related cases, so we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 38 would be prepared to move very quickly upon receiving discovery requests when that part of the schedule arrives. But in most of these cases -- again, in broad strokes -- we don't see any reason why depositions can't be in fulsome by the Fall for parties who don't settle by then. But one comment is, obviously to the extent matters are able to settle, which would be our hope over the next few weeks, no scheduling order would be necessary, and we'd be willing to put off discovery, you know, for example, early case mediation or other constructive discussions. THE COURT: Okay, so perhaps I missed it. Have you encountered reluctance by parties to engage in discovery? MR. SLOCUM: No, Your Honor. Those talks have not yet begun for the reasons I outlined. So there hasn't been reluctance as of yet and, hopefully, there will not be, but the answer is no, not yet. THE COURT: Okay, excellent. All right, that sounds like a plan. I'm all for moving all this forward expeditiously, fairly, efficiently and being done, and that will include at some point -- not today -- if necessary, setting trial dates. And I think that's really all I have. I mean, there are a lot of folks on the phone, so I'm happy to hear from anyone else who wishes to be heard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right, very good. Well, if not seeing you in person, it's good to at least see your pictures on my dashboard here and to hear some of your voices. I do hope that all of you have been and are well and are happily trying to make your way back into the world again now that we are where we are.

So thanks very much to the Wollmuth firm for organizing this today. As always, please reach out to my chambers if we can be of any assistance. Other than that, we'll wait to hear from you, and we'll look forward to a lot of good progress in all of these adversary proceedings.

That concludes the hearing for today. Please, everybody, have a great day.

MR. SLOCUM: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We're here for a hearing in connection with the Lehman Brothers cases. It is Case No. 08-13555. This hearing is being conducted entirely telephonically via the Court Solutions platform and a recording of the hearing is being made. No private recordings are permitted.

I have a list of those who have registered to participate this morning, and if you wish to be heard at some point, please identify yourself for the record and identify the party on whose behalf you are appearing.

So as you all know, this case -- this particular matter involving Lehman's objection to the allowance of the Maverick claims has been pending for quite some time, took a trip up to the District Court, it came back to this Court, and it has been sub judice for some time.

And today, I've asked you all to join me so that I could read you a bench ruling that will, I believe, affect the final disposition of this matter. So if you would please bear with me, I'm going to read; hopefully, it will take no more than 20 minutes or a half an hour. Afterwards, I will ask for the entry of an order, which will reference the transcript of this bench ruling to be attached as an exhibit and that will constitute the ruling of the Court.

All right, so I'm going to start reading the ruling.

Before the Court on remand from the United States

District Court for the Southern District of New York is the

objection of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. to the proofs of

claim filed by the Maverick entities as defined below.

Specifically, as set forth in the brief on remand,

Docket No. 59807, in further support of the 519th omnibus

objection to claims, which is Docket No. 53107, filed by

Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. (hereinafter, LBHI), LBHI

renews its request for an order disallowing the proofs of

claim filed by six Maverick funds (hereinafter, Maverick or

the Maverick entities) against LBHI in its capacity as guarantor to the extent such claims exceed LBHI's alleged maximum potential exposure on such guaranty claims.

LBHI quantifies its maximum potential exposure on such guaranty claims with respect to five of the funds as \$4.3 million in the aggregate as of LBHI's petition date of September 15th, 2008 (hereinafter, the petition date) and by its brief on remand, LBHI renews its request for an order disallowing Maverick's claims on behalf of those five funds to the extent such claims exceed \$4.3 million in the aggregate.

LBHI seeks the complete disallowance of the claim of the sixth Maverick fund for which LBHI submits that Maverick agrees that such fund had no claim against LBHI as of the petition date.

In adjudicating the appeal of this Court's prior rulings with respect to Maverick's claims against LBHI, see order granting plan administrator's 519th omnibus objection to claims dated May 12th, 2017, Docket No. 55346, the District Court found that the petition date was the determinative date for calculating LBHI's guaranty liability and the District Court reversed and remanded the matter to this Court for further proceedings. See Maverick Long Enhanced Fund, Ltd., et al v. Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., 594 Br at 564 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).

Accordingly, in its opposition to LBHI's brief on remand filed at Docket No. 59865, Maverick argues that LBHI as guarantor remains liable as of the petition date for the full \$118.1 million that Lehman Brothers International Europe, or LBIE, as primary obligor owed to Maverick on LBHI's petition date. Maverick asserts that pursuant to the Supreme Court decision in Ivanhoe Building & Loan Assn. v. Orr, 295 U.S. 243 at 246 to 47, (1935) is entitled to assert the full amount of such claim against each of LBIE and LBHI until Maverick has been paid in full.

LBHI filed a reply to Maverick's opposition at Docket No. 59912 and this Court held oral argument on September 10th, 2019. The parties also have submitted letters to the Court dated September 14th, 2020 at Docket No. 60869 and October 19th, 2020 at Docket No. 60904.

For the reasons that follow, LBHI's objection to the full allowance of Maverick's claims is denied. The claims filed by the Maverick entities shall be allowed in the aggregate amount of \$118.1 million, subject to the single satisfaction rule as discussed more fully herein.

Background. The Court assumes familiarity with the long history of this claims allowance dispute, but will provide a brief summary of pertinent background facts for the purposes of this bench decision.

Prior to the petition date, each of the Maverick

entities was party to a separate prime brokerage agreement, pursuant to certain Lehman entities, including LBIE, agreed to maintain custody of certain cash and securities of the Maverick entities. In addition to these prime brokerage agreements, LBIE was party to other contracts with the Maverick entities concerning the borrowing of the securities, one, to facilitate, one, short trades, i.e., global master securities lending agreements or GMSLAs and, two, margin loans, i.e., margin loan agreements or MLAs.

Pursuant to the prime brokerage agreements between the parties, LBIE held Maverick assets as collateral to secure Maverick obligations to LBIE and LBIE's affiliates in connection with transaction between and among such parties.

LBIE's obligations under the GMSLAs and the MLAs were the subject of multiple guaranties, including an absolute and unconditional guaranty of payment extended by LBHI.

On September 22nd, 2009, each of the six Maverick entities filed a proof of claim against LBHI, which claims are substantively identical in all relevant respects, collectively the Maverick claims. The Maverick claims assert guaranty liability against LBHI based on the primary obligations of LBIE and each claim states that it, quote, "constitutes a demand for payment under the guaranty," end quote.

The Maverick claims allege that as of the petition

date, quote, "Lehman entities had custody of Maverick assets or property pursuant to the prime brokerage documents," end quote, and that the value of such Maverick assets as of the petition date was approximately \$118.1 million in the aggregate. It's worth noting that LBHI has stated that it is willing to accept Maverick's allegations as true, that each Maverick entity posted collateral on a fund-specific basis and that the collateral for one fund did not secure the liability of the other funds. See brief on remand at paragraphs 5 to 6.

Maverick also submits that, one, on the petition date, the Maverick entities owed LBIE approximately \$129.6 million, reflecting the value of certain margin loans and loans of securities to facilitate short trades, and two, if such amount had been subject to automatic netting or setoff on the petition date, which Maverick asserts they were not, the Maverick entities would have owed LBIE an aggregate amount of approximately \$11.5 million at that time.

Several years after the petition date and after

LBIE sought bankruptcy protection under English law, LBIE

and Maverick commenced settlement discussions. Maverick

submits that during such discussions, Maverick insisted that

the parties' position should be treated as though they had

been closed out and set off on the petition date, and on

such a basis, Maverick would have paid LBIE \$11.5 million.

As described by Maverick, LBIE refused to agree with this proposed treatment and instead, adopted the view that all of Maverick's positions remained open and should be valued as of the date on which the parties agreed to a final settlement. Maverick maintains that for various reasons, it was compelled to enter into a March 30th, 2012 settlement agreement with LBIE whereby it was, quote/unquote, "forced" to pay LBIE \$30 million, and it did not receive credit from LBIE for the full \$118.1 million owed to Maverick by LBIE, credit which would have reduced the amount owed by Maverick to LBIE to \$11.5 million.

Accordingly, Maverick asserts that after its settlement with LBIE as primary obligor, Maverick continued to retain its rights to pursue LBHI as guarantor for, among other things, the full remaining balance of the \$118.1 million and, two, any other amounts owed as a result of LBIE's alleged breaches of contract and failure to provide netting and setoff in the full amount that Maverick would have been entitled to under applicable non-bankruptcy law. See opposition at paragraph 15.

Discussion. Pursuant to the ruling of the

District Court, the only issue before the Court on remand is

to determine LBHI's liability as of the petition date as a

guarantor to Maverick; see Maverick Long Enhanced Fund Ltd.

v. Lehman Brothers Holding, Inc., 594 Br at 576.

Accordingly, this Court may not give consideration to events that occurred or did not occur after the petition date.

The parties offered two very different answers to the question of the extent of LBHI's guaranty liability.

Maverick maintains that LBHI owed it \$118.1 million as of the petition date, reflecting the value on the petition date of the cash and securities on deposit with LBIE. Maverick asserts its entitlement to such amounts, notwithstanding its acknowledgement that on the petition date, it owed LBIE approximately \$129.6 million on account of margin loans and loans of securities in connection with short trades.

As previously stated, automatic netting or setoff on the petition date would thus have resulted in Maverick owing LBIE an aggregate amount of \$11.5 million. Maverick argues that no such netting or setoff right existed as of the petition date and that LBIE, in any event, took no action to exercise setoff rights even if they had existed. As such, Maverick maintains that LBHI cannot treat the Maverick claims as having been subject to a net setoff or netting on the petition date; LBHI disagrees.

Relying on certain provisions in the prime brokerage documents, LBHI asserts that Maverick's position ignores the purpose and function of collateral arrangements and posits that LBIE had no obligation to return all of Maverick's collateral custody as of the petition date.

Rather, LBHI asserts LBIE's liability to Maverick at any given point in time was for excess collateral only, in LBHI's words, quote, "The positive difference, if any, between the value of the collateral and the amount of Maverick's liabilities." Brief on remand at paragraph 14.

In support of its contention that LBIE's obligations were limited to the return of net amounts of Maverick's collateral, LBHI points to paragraph 5(d) of the MLA, which makes any obligation on the part of LBIE to return collateral expressly conditioned on the prior satisfaction by Maverick of any liabilities to LBIE, stating that, quote, "Upon satisfaction by Maverick of all obligations and all obligations owed by Maverick to each affiliate of LBIE, LBIE shall return to Maverick the collateral." MLA at paragraph 5(d).

LBHI also points to other provisions of the prime brokerage documents to argue that the Court should now treat Maverick assets and liabilities as having been subject to an automatic setoff because, pursuant to the prime brokerage documents under specific circumstances, LBIE could elect to take action to liquidate Maverick's collateral and apply the proceeds to reduce any amounts owed by or to Maverick.

In support of this, quote, "clean and unambiguous intent," end quote, LBHI cites to paragraph 3 of the prime brokerage agreement, which states that, quote, "In addition,

in order to satisfy all of Maverick's outstanding
liabilities or obligations to any Lehman Brothers entity,
each Lehman Brothers entity may, to the fullest extent
permitted by law, at any time in its discretion and without
prior notice to you, use, apply, or transfer any and all
securities or other property or assets, including without
limitation, fully paid securities and cash." Brief on
remand, paragraph 15, citing prime brokerage agreement at
paragraph 3, emphasis added.

Moreover, LBHI asserts that even if Maverick's cited provisions purportedly dictate Maverick's entitlement to a gross claim, application of such provisions would conflict with paragraph 13 of the master prime brokerage agreement and would be mooted by paragraph 32 of the prime brokerage agreement, the so-called cumulative rights provision.

Paragraph 13 of the master prime brokerage

agreement provides, quote, "On the occurrence of an event of

default, the following shall immediately occur: (b) all

outstanding obligations of each party to deliver securities

or equivalent securities or to pay cash to the other under

this agreement shall fall due for performance immediately;

(c) the non-defaulting party shall establish as of the

termination date, the default market values of all

securities, equivalent securities, and cash to be delivered

or paid by each party under clause 13.1(b) above; (d) on the basis of the sum so established, an account shall be taken as of the termination date of what is due from each party to the other under this agreement and the sums due from one party shall be set off against the sums due from the other and only the balance of the account shall be payable by the party having the claim valued at the lower amount and such balance shall be due and payable on the next following business day."

"The cumulative rights provision provides that the rights, remedies, benefits, and protections afforded to each Lehman Brothers entity under this agreement and under contract you may have with any Lehman Brothers entity, whether heretofore or hereafter entered into are cumulative, and in addition to any other rights, remedies, benefits, and protections that any Lehman Brothers entity may have."

"To the extent that the provisions of any contracts you have with any Lehman Brothers entity, whether heretofore or hereinafter entered into are inconsistent, whether the inconsistency be between the contracts or within a single contract, the conflict shall be resolved in favor of the provision which affords Lehman Brothers with the maximum rights, benefits, or protection." Prime brokerage agreement at paragraph 32.

I'm going to pause for a moment, folks, to get a

drink of water. I'll be right back. I am two-thirds of the way done. Okay, back to the ruling.

Maverick, on the other hand, argues that there cannot be automatic setoff or netting because a setoff can only occur in a Chapter 11 proceeding when a party takes specific action to exercise setoff rights and then record such action, neither of which occurred here. See September 14th, 2020 letter from Maverick, Docket No. 60869, citing Citizens Bank v. Strumpf, 516 U.S. 16 at 20 (1995).

Whether or not LBIE had a right of setoff on

LBHI's petition date or not is irrelevant, Maverick asserts,

because LBIE did not take action to accomplish the setoff

and did not record it.

More importantly, however, Maverick submits that the prime brokerage documents contained highly detailed mechanisms for automatic setoff, e.g., paragraph 4 of the prime brokerage agreement and paragraph 10.2 of the GMSLA as modified by section 9(v) of the addendum to the GMSLA, that reflect the parties' agreement that LBIE's right to setoff or to offset any obligation owed by Maverick to LBIE existed only upon Maverick's default under the prime brokerage agreement. In other words, the netting provision in the prime brokerage agreement only applies if Maverick files for bankruptcy or was in default on the petition date, and a bankruptcy filing by LBIE would not result in an automatic

setoff of mutual debts.

Importantly, LBHI does not dispute this narrow point; in fact, it has conceded that Maverick's assertion in this regard is a correct one. See March 24th, 2017 hearing transcript at page 18, line 6 to 10, filed at Docket No. 55115. Rather, LBHI argues that it is not dispositive or, to put it colloquially, it is not kryptonite because, one, the cumulative rights provision would save LBHI from such an adverse outcome and, two, in any event, it is commercially unreasonable to interpret the prime brokerage document in a way that would require LBIE to return all custody collateral on the petition date without regard to amounts that Maverick owed LBIE. See September 10th, 2019 hearing transcript at page 10 line 25 to page 12 line 11, page 20 line 22 to page 21 line 5.

LBHI asserts that it is aware of no cases, quote, "where collateral posted under a prime brokerage agreement was found to be owed separate and independent from the obligations that such collateral secured." See reply at paragraph 9.

The seeming inconsistency between these provisions would be rendered irrelevant had LBIE paid Maverick \$4.3 million on the petition date and used the remaining approximately 114 million in proceeds to cancel Maverick's indebtedness to LBIE, but this did not occur. Because LBIE

did not effectuate such a setoff on the petition date or subsequently, LBHI is liable as guarantor for the remainder due Maverick.

Maverick has the right to seek from LBHI as guarantor the return of the full \$118 million owed to Maverick by LBIE, subject to a maximum recovery of that same amount, which Maverick acknowledges. See opposition, paragraph 32 stating that, quote, "That Maverick is entitled to assert a claim for the full \$118 million it was owed on the petition date, subject to a maximum recovery of that same amount, meaning in effect that Maverick can only collect an addition \$16.2 million from LBHI."

Restricted as this Court is to setting the amount of Maverick's claims as of the petition date, the Court concludes that Maverick is entitled to an aggregate allowed claim against LBHI in the amount of \$118.1 million, which will entitle Maverick to recover from LBHI as guarantor approximately \$16.2 million of the \$30 million Maverick paid to LBIE.

Such a result is consistent with the relevant provision of the prime brokerage documents in a manner that: one, follows the direction of the District Court in its ruling; two, gives effect to the provisions of the prime brokerage documents that limit netting to circumstances in which Maverick defaults; and three, does not give economic

Page 53 1 to effect to a setoff that LBIE failed to effectuate on the 2 petition date. Simply put, LBHI remains liable for the full 3 amount of LBIE's breach measured as of the petition date. Conclusion. For all of the foregoing reasons, 4 5 LBHI's objection to the Maverick claims is denied. 6 parties are directed to submit an order consistent with the 7 foregoing. 8 Thank you for listening. I would ask -- I Okay. 9 see Mr. Fail, you're on the line and Mr. Hufendick, I would 10 ask that you prepare an order that reflects the Court's 11 ruling that states, "For the reasons stated on the record, 12 which are reflected in the transcript, a copy of which is 13 attached to the order, the motion is denied, " that you 14 socialize that order with counsel for Maverick and then send 15 it to us in Word version when it's ready to be filed. 16 All right? 17 MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. Garrett Fail for LBHI, understood. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martin, anything from you? 19 20 MR. MARTIN: No. Thank you for your time, Your 21 Honor. We appreciate you taking the time to read that to us 22 today. 23 THE COURT: All right, very well. I know you've 24 been waiting a long time and there we have it. So it's good 25 to see your pictures on my screen. I hope you all have been

Page 54 well and are enjoying a return to being out in the sun and some more normal times. So enjoy the rest of your day and thank you so much for your attention. MR. FAIL: Thank you, same to you. Thanks very much, Your Honor, and same to you. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:30 AM) 

## 08-0855355555ccDodD6dc167156-illed 67140070261/23521ter45ch166749070261/2352411033312M18in Exabilininent Pg 56 of 76

	Pg 6th OT 7th		
			Page 55
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5			
6	Maverick Claims Denied	53	5
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 56 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya V. deslarski Hyde 6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 Date: May 25, 2021

[& - 519th] Page 1

			C
&	1st 1:15 16:2	<b>21-01051</b> 6:9	<b>21-01085</b> 14:1
<b>&amp;</b> 11:22 12:6	2	18:18	22:18
21:12,17 22:23	<b>20</b> 15:14 40:10	<b>21-01052</b> 6:17	<b>21-01086</b> 14:9
24:3 26:22 42:7	50:9 51:14	18:22	22:22
0	<b>2000</b> 3:14 17:2	<b>21-01054</b> 7:1 19:1	<b>21-01087</b> 14:17
<b>08-13555</b> 1:3	<b>2008</b> 41:7	<b>21-01055</b> 7:9 19:5	23:1
23:10 39:18	<b>2009</b> 43:17	<b>21-01057</b> 7:17	<b>21-01090</b> 15:1
	<b>2012</b> 45:6	19:9	23:6
1	<b>2016</b> 27:11	<b>21-01058</b> 8:1 19:13	<b>22</b> 51:14 <b>22nd</b> 43:17
10 51:5,14	<b>2017</b> 41:19 51:4	<b>21-01059</b> 8:9	<b>243</b> 42:8
<b>10.2</b> 50:17	<b>2018</b> 27:12 41:25	19:17	<b>24330</b> 24:19
10004 15:12	<b>2019</b> 42:13 51:13	<b>21-01061</b> 8:17	<b>246</b> 42:8
10110 24:6	<b>2020</b> 42:14,15	19:21	24th 51:4
<b>10:00</b> 15:15	50:8	<b>21-01062</b> 9:1 20:1	<b>25</b> 27:18 51:14
<b>10th</b> 42:13 51:13 <b>11</b> 50:5 51:14	<b>2021</b> 15:14 32:2	<b>21-01063</b> 9:9 20:5	56:25
11.5 44:18,25	56:25	<b>21-01064</b> 9:17	<b>25280</b> 24:12
45:11 46:14	<b>21</b> 51:15	20:9	<b>28</b> 28:9
114 51:24	<b>21-01029</b> 1:10	<b>21-01065</b> 10:1	<b>29</b> 28:19
11 <b>50</b> 1 56:23	16:1	20:13	<b>295</b> 42:8
<b>118</b> 52:5,9	<b>21-01030</b> 1:18 16:5	<b>21-01066</b> 10:9	3
<b>118.1</b> 42:4,19 44:4	<b>21-01031</b> 2:1 16:9	20:17	3 47:24 48:9
45:9,15 46:5	<b>21-01031</b> 2.1 10.9 <b>21-01034</b> 2:9	<b>21-01067</b> 10:17	<b>30</b> 36:25 45:8
52:16	16:13	20:21	52:18
<b>11:30</b> 54:8	<b>21-01035</b> 2:17	<b>21-01070</b> 11:1	<b>300</b> 56:22
<b>12</b> 28:6 51:14	16:17	21:1	<b>30th</b> 45:6
<b>129.6</b> 44:12 46:10	<b>21-01036</b> 3:1	<b>21-01071</b> 11:9	<b>32</b> 48:14 49:24
<b>12th</b> 41:19	16:21	21:6	52:8
<b>13</b> 28:10 48:13,17	<b>21-01037</b> 3:9 17:1	<b>21-01073</b> 11:17	<b>330</b> 56:21
<b>13.1</b> 49:1	<b>21-01040</b> 3:17	21:11	<b>3rd</b> 35:5
<b>14</b> 27:16 47:5	17:6	<b>21-01075</b> 12:1	4
<b>14th</b> 42:14 50:8	<b>21-01041</b> 4:1	21:16	4 50:16
<b>15</b> 27:24 45:20	17:10	<b>21-01076</b> 12:9 21:21	<b>4.3</b> 41:6,10 51:22
48:8	<b>21-01042</b> 4:9	<b>21.21 21-01078</b> 12:17	<b>40</b> 28:5
<b>150</b> 27:12	17:15	22:1	<b>47</b> 42:8
15th 41:7	<b>21-01043</b> 4:17	<b>21-01080</b> 13:1	5
<b>16</b> 28:11 50:9	17:19	22:5	
<b>16.2</b> 52:12,18	<b>21-01044</b> 5:1 18:1	<b>21-01081</b> 13:9	5 44:10 47:8,15
<b>18</b> 51:5 <b>1935</b> 42:8	<b>21-01045</b> 5:9 18:6	22:10	51:15 55:6
<b>1935</b> 42:8 <b>1995</b> 50:9	<b>21-01046</b> 5:17	<b>21-01083</b> 13:17	<b>500</b> 24:5
1945 30:9 19th 42:15	18:10	22:14	<b>516</b> 50:9
17tH 42.13	<b>21-01048</b> 6:1		<b>519th</b> 40:21 41:18
	18:14		

[53 - asserts] Page 2

<b>53</b> 55:6	added 28:19 48:9	offorded 40.11	47.4 40.7 52.7 11
	addendum 50:18	afforded 49:11	47:4 49:7 52:7,11
<b>53107</b> 40:22		affords 49:22	52:13,16 53:3
<b>55115</b> 51:6	addition 43:4	agenda 26:18 27:5	amounts 27:13,19
<b>55346</b> 41:19	47:25 49:15 52:12	27:20 29:21	29:11 45:16 46:8
<b>564</b> 41:25	address 27:6	<b>aggregate</b> 41:6,11	47:7,22 51:12
<b>576</b> 45:25	29:21 32:16 37:7	42:19 44:5,17	answer 29:1 31:16
<b>594</b> 41:25 45:25	adjourned 28:2	46:14 52:15	32:13,14 37:21
<b>59807</b> 40:21	adjudicating	ago 29:15	38:17
<b>59865</b> 42:2	41:16	agree 34:7,22	answered 28:8,11
<b>59912</b> 42:12	adler 25:22	45:1	28:17,17
6	administrator's	agreed 37:13 43:2	answers 46:3
<b>6</b> 44:10 51:5	41:18	45:4	anxious 32:5
<b>60</b> 27:1,20 28:4,9	adopted 45:2	agreement 34:17	apparently 36:15
<b>60585</b> 24:20	adr 29:2,21,22	34:24 35:4 43:1	appeal 41:16
<b>60869</b> 42:15 50:8	30:3	45:7 47:25 48:8	appearing 26:15
<b>60904</b> 42:15	adv 1:10,18 2:1,9	48:14,15,18,22	39:25
9	2:17 3:1,9,17 4:1	49:4,12,24 50:17	applicable 45:19
	4:9,17 5:1,9,17	50:19,22,23 51:17	application 48:12
9 50:18 51:20	6:1,9,17 7:1,9,17	agreements 33:6	applies 50:23
<b>93923</b> 24:13	8:1,9,17 9:1,9,17	43:5,8,9,10	apply 47:21 48:5
<b>94</b> 27:18	10:1,9,17 11:1,9	agrees 41:14	appreciate 33:9
a	11:17 12:1,9,17	aileen 25:24	53:21
<b>a.k.t.</b> 1:23 16:6	13:1,9,17 14:1,9	akers 25:10	approach 31:12
able 37:15 38:7	14:17 15:1	al 11:22 12:6,14	appropriate 31:7
absolute 43:15	advanced 2:6	12:22 13:6,14,22	approximately
accept 44:6	16:10	14:6,14 15:6	44:4,12,18 46:10
accomplish 50:12	adversary 16:1,5	21:13,18,22 22:2	51:24 52:18
account 46:10	16:9,13,17,21	22:7,11,15,19,23	argue 47:17
49:2,6	17:1,6,10,15,19	23:8 41:24	argues 42:2 46:15
accurate 26:16	18:1,6,10,14,18	alderus 12:6	50:3 51:6
56:4	18:22 19:1,5,9,13	21:17 28:15,16	argument 42:12
acknowledge 34:9	19:17,21 20:1,5,9	allegations 44:6	arrangements
acknowledged	20:13,17,21 21:1	allege 43:25	46:23
33:9	21:6,11,16,21	alleged 41:2 45:17	arrived 28:12
acknowledgement	22:1,5,10,14,18	allowance 40:2	arrives 38:2
46:9	22:22 23:1,6 26:4	42:17,22	asked 40:6
acknowledges	26:6 27:1,12	allowed 42:18	asking 33:12
52:7	39:11	52:15	assert 42:8 43:21
action 46:17	adverse 51:9	american 1:23	52:9
47:21 50:6,7,12	affect 40:7	16:6	assertion 51:3
active 27:17 28:10	affiliate 47:14	amount 28:5 42:9	asserts 42:6 44:16
activity 29:23	affiliates 43:12	42:19 44:15,18	45:12 46:8,22
		45:10,18 46:14	47:1 48:10 50:11

51:16	bear 40:9	6:19 7:3,11,19 8:3	6:1,9,17 7:1,9,17
assets 43:11 44:1	bee 7:6 19:2	8:11,19 9:3,11,19	8:1,9,17 9:1,9,17
44:3 47:18 48:6	begun 38:15	10:3,11,19 11:3	10:1,9,17 11:1,9
assignment 33:5	behalf 26:14,23	11:11,19 12:3,11	11:17 12:1,9,17
assistance 39:9	32:5,19 34:6,13	12:19 13:3,11,19	13:1,9,17 14:1,9
assn 42:7	35:12 39:25 41:9	14:3,11,19 15:3	14:17 15:1 26:5
assume 37:2	<b>believe</b> 26:17	16:2,6,10,14,18	28:15,15 29:10
assumes 42:21	27:16 34:19 35:13	16:22 17:2,7,11	31:11 37:7,16
attached 34:18	37:17,19 40:7	17:16,20 18:2,7	38:10 39:18 40:1
40:12 53:13	bench 40:7,12	18:11,15,19,23	cases 27:13,17,19
attention 54:3	42:24	19:2,6,10,14,18	27:20,21,23,23,24
attorney 24:4,11	<b>benefit</b> 31:20	19:22 20:2,6,10	28:5,6,10,10,23
attorneys 24:18	benefits 49:11,15	20:14,18,22 21:2	29:13,16,18,22
attributable 30:3	49:23	21:7,12,17,22	30:4,8,10,14 32:3
automatic 44:15	best 31:12	22:2,6,11,15,19	32:21 36:19 37:12
46:12 47:19 50:4	borrowing 43:6	22:23 23:2,7,10	37:25 38:3 39:17
50:16,25	bowling 15:11	26:6,23 39:17	51:16
avenue 24:5	<b>br</b> 41:25 45:25	40:18,23 41:24	casey 25:23
aware 33:6 51:16	breach 53:3	42:4 45:25 48:2,3	cash 43:3 46:7
b	breaches 45:17	49:12,13,16,18,22	48:7,21,25
<b>b</b> 15:21 48:19	bridgeview 2:14	buehl 25:2	<b>cdc</b> 2:22 16:18
49:1	16:14	building 42:7	celine 25:2
back 27:21 34:23	<b>brief</b> 27:6 40:20	<b>business</b> 30:10,11	center 10:14
39:5 40:4 50:1,2	41:8 42:1,23 44:9	49:9	20:18
background	47:5 48:7	c	centex 14:6 22:19
42:21,23	briefing 32:23	c 15:22 24:1 26:1	certain 27:2 31:4
balance 45:15	33:13,17,18 34:7	48:23 56:1,1	32:20 37:20 43:2
49:6,8	34:22 35:13,14	ca 24:13	43:3 44:13 46:21
banc 11:22 21:12	36:6	calculating 41:21	certainly 32:3
bank 12:14 21:22	briefly 32:16	calif 11:6 21:2	certified 56:3
22:23 50:9	<b>broad</b> 37:17 38:3	california 15:6	chambers 39:9
bank& 14:14	<b>broker</b> 34:17,24	23:7	chance 36:21
banker 4:22 17:20	35:4	call 36:11 37:2	change 31:1
bankers 11:14	brokerage 43:1,4	called 28:14 48:15	changed 36:5
21:7 23:11	43:10 44:2 46:22	calling 29:10	chapman 15:22
bankruptcy 1:1	47:17,19,25 48:8	cancel 51:24	26:3
15:10,23 44:20	48:13,15,17 49:23	capacity 41:1	chapter 50:5
45:19 50:24,25	50:15,17,21,23	capital 1:23 14:6	chart 27:20,23
based 33:21 35:4	51:10,17 52:21,24	16:6 22:19	28:6,15
35:22 43:21	brothers 1:7,12	carmel 24:13	chosen 37:21
basis 34:19 44:8	1:20 2:3,11,19 3:3	case 1:3,10,18 2:1	circumstances
44:25 49:2	3:11,19 4:3,11,19	2:9,17 3:1,9,17	36:9 47:20 52:24
	5:3,11,19 6:3,11	4:1,9,17 5:1,9,17	
		val Calutiana	

[cited - date] Page 4

<b>cited</b> 48:11	commerce 12:14	consent 30:25	counterparty
cites 47:24	21:22	32:24	28:4
citing 48:8 50:8	commercial 27:10	consideration	country 56:21
citizens 50:9	31:11	46:1	<b>course</b> 37:14
citywide 3:6	commercially	consistent 29:17	<b>court</b> 1:1 15:10
16:22	51:9	52:20 53:6	26:2,9,21 27:13
claim 27:19 28:5	commonsense	constitute 40:13	29:3 30:6,13,17
40:19,25 41:12,14	35:25	constitutes 43:23	30:22 31:6,8,13
42:9 43:18,22	communicated	constructive	31:20 32:24,25
48:12 49:7 52:9	30:18,19,20	38:10	33:6,10,11,15,18
52:16	compared 29:14	contact 34:20	34:2,12 35:5,8,16
claims 40:3,22	<b>compel</b> 30:15 31:5	contained 50:15	35:19 36:15,22,25
41:2,3,5,9,10,17	compelled 45:6	contains 27:20	37:14,24 38:11,18
41:19 42:17,18,22	complaint 34:18	contention 47:6	39:16,19 40:4,4
43:18,20,20,25	37:9	continue 28:22	40:13,16,17 41:20
46:19 52:14 53:5	complete 41:12	29:18,24 30:7,14	41:22,23 42:7,12
55:6	conceded 51:3	31:1,11	42:14,21 45:22,22
clarification	concerning 43:6	continued 45:13	46:1 47:17 52:13
28:13	concluded 54:7	continuing 29:6	52:14,22 53:19,23
clarify 31:15	concludes 39:12	contract 45:17	<b>court's</b> 30:3 35:7
clause 49:1	52:15	49:13,21	41:16 53:10
<b>clean</b> 47:23	conclusion 35:23	contracts 43:5	cranbrook 13:22
<b>clear</b> 37:19	53:4	49:18,20	22:15 24:11
<b>clients</b> 32:19 33:5	conditioned 47:10	conversation 36:3	create 26:16
<b>closed</b> 44:24	conducted 26:8	36:5	creative 3:14 17:2
coast 14:22 23:3	39:18	convinces 36:4	credit 45:8,10
collateral 43:11	conference 16:3,7	<b>copy</b> 53:12	cumulative 48:15
44:7,8 46:23,25	16:11,15,19,23	<b>corp</b> 2:6,14 3:6	49:10,14 51:8
47:2,4,8,10,15,21	17:4,8,13,17,21	6:22 8:6 9:6,14	curran 25:16
51:11,17,19	18:4,8,12,16,20	13:22 14:6 16:10	currently 28:7
collect 52:12	18:24 19:3,7,11	16:14,22 18:23	<b>custody</b> 43:3 44:1
collectively 43:20	19:15,19,23 20:3	19:14 20:2,6	46:25 51:11
colloquially 51:7	20:7,11,15,19,23	22:15,19 24:11	d
colorable 34:19	21:4,9,14,19,23	corporation 4:22	<b>d</b> 26:1 47:8,15
combination	22:3,8,12,16,20	10:6 17:20 20:14	49:1 55:1
31:17	22:24 23:4,12	correct 51:4	dashboard 39:3
come 32:12 35:23	26:3,18,25 28:2	counsel 27:9	date 32:14 35:15
commenced 44:21	conflict 48:13	28:14 30:19 31:19	
comment 38:6	49:21	34:20,24 35:21	41:6,7,15,20,21
commentary	connection 26:4,5	36:12 53:14	42:3,6,25 44:1,4
29:16	29:7 39:17 43:13	counterparties	44:12,16,19,24
comments 28:25	46:11	27:16,18	45:4,23 46:2,6,6,9
29:2			46:13,16,20,25 48:24 49:3 50:11
			40.24 49.3 30:11

[date - et] Page 5

50:24 51:12,23 52:1,10,14 53:2,3 56:25  dated 41:19 42:14 dates 38:22 david 25:19 day 39:13 49:9 54:2 deadline 28:12 32:12 35:5 debtors 1:8 24:4 debts 51:1 decision 42:7,24 deed 11:6 21:2 default 28:7 48:19 48:24 50:21,24 defaulting 48:23 defaults 52:25 defendant 1:16,24 2:7,15,23 3:7,15 3:23 4:7,15,23 5:7 5:15,23 6:7,15,23 7:7,15,23 8:7,15 8:23 9:7,15,23 10:7,15,23 11:7 11:15 28:16 30:19 32:12 defendants 11:23 12:7,15,23 13:7 13:15,23 14:7,15 14:23 15:7 27:8 28:1,3,7,10,11,14 29:25 30:12 31:4 32:13,15,20 33:24 34:5 37:8,10,21 defined 40:19 deliver 48:20 delivered 48:25 demand 43:23 denied 42:17 53:5 53:13 55:6	depositions 38:4 described 45:1 detail 36:18 detailed 50:15 determination 33:19 determinative 41:21 determine 35:22 36:6 45:23 deutsch 24:3 26:23 dictate 48:11 difference 33:4 47:3 differences 33:7 different 34:4,5 34:14 35:23 46:3 direct 13:14 22:11 directed 53:6 direction 28:22 52:22 disagrees 46:20 disallowance 41:12 disallowing 40:24 41:9 disappointing 30:25 discover 37:20 discovery 37:17 37:23 38:2,9,13 discretion 48:4 discussed 42:20 discussions 31:24 38:10 44:21,22 dismiss 32:15 34:6,20 37:22 dismissal 29:6,10 34:16	disposition 33:20 40:8 dispositive 51:6 dispute 27:14 42:22 51:2 disputes 27:11 district 1:2 40:4 40:17,17 41:20,22 45:22 52:22 division 11:6 21:3 docket 26:17 40:21,22 41:19 42:2,12,14,15 50:8 51:5 document 51:10 documents 37:24 44:2 46:22 47:17 47:20 50:15 52:21 52:24 dormie 25:14 drink 50:1 drive 24:12 due 37:14 48:22 49:3,4,5,8 52:3 duvall 25:25  e  e 15:21,21 24:1,1 26:1,1 55:1 56:1 e.g. 50:16 earlier 27:23 31:15 early 29:2,21,22 38:10 easy 35:20 economic 52:25 edward 9:22 20:10 edwards 25:8 effect 52:11,23 53:1	efficient 35:20 efficiently 38:20 either 34:21 elect 47:20 email 37:1 emphasis 48:9 empire 3:22 17:7 encountered 38:12 engage 31:23 35:21 38:12 english 44:20 enhanced 41:24 45:24 enjoy 54:2 enjoying 54:1 enormous 27:11 enter 45:6 entered 30:4 49:14,19 entirely 26:8 39:19 entities 27:4 28:16 31:18 40:19 41:1 42:18 43:1,2,4,6 43:18 44:1,12,17 entitle 52:17 entitle 52:17 entitle 52:17 entitle 42:8 45:19 52:8,15 entitlement 46:8 48:11 entity 44:7 48:2,3 49:12,13,16,18 entry 40:11 equivalent 48:21 48:25 esq 24:10 essentially 32:19 establish 48:23 established 49:2 estate 32:5
<b>denied</b> 42:17 53:5	dismissal 29:6,10		established 49:2
deposit 46:7	dismissed 27:25	effectuate 52:1 53:1	et 11:22 12:6,14 12:22 13:6,14,22
	Veriteyt Lea	val Solutions	I

[et - happily] Page 6

14614156	6 1 52 0 17 17	6.11 50.00	14 1 20 2 22 14
14:6,14 15:6	fail 53:9,17,17	follows 52:22	glitch 29:2 33:14
21:12,18,22 22:2	54:4	forced 45:7	<b>global</b> 43:8
22:6,11,15,19,23	failed 53:1	foregoing 53:4,7	gmsla 50:17,18
23:7 41:24	failure 45:17	56:3	gmslas 43:8,14
europe 42:5	<b>fairly</b> 38:20	<b>forth</b> 40:20	<b>go</b> 26:7 35:25 36:4
event 46:16 48:18	fall 38:5 48:22	forward 27:6	36:18
51:9	familiarity 42:21	32:17 34:8 35:24	<b>going</b> 31:3 32:8
events 46:1	<b>family</b> 4:14 17:16	38:19 39:10	34:9 35:24 36:9
everybody 29:8	<b>favor</b> 49:21	<b>found</b> 41:20 51:18	37:2,2 40:9,14
32:2 34:7 39:13	feels 33:7	<b>four</b> 32:14	49:25
<b>exact</b> 29:11	<b>fifth</b> 24:5	<b>fourth</b> 34:14	<b>good</b> 26:2,21
example 38:9	<b>file</b> 31:5 34:7	franke 25:9	29:15 33:3 35:6
<b>exceed</b> 41:2,10	37:21	frankly 31:25	35:11 39:1,2,11
excellent 38:18	<b>filed</b> 26:5,17 27:1	35:7	39:16 53:24
exceptional 4:6	27:12,23 30:9,10	<b>friend</b> 29:23	granting 41:18
17:11	30:14 32:15,18,21	fruitful 31:10	<b>great</b> 30:5 39:13
excess 47:2	34:15 40:19,22,25	<b>full</b> 42:4,9,10,17	green 15:11
exercise 46:17	42:2,11,18 43:18	45:9,15,18 52:5,9	greenback 5:22
50:6	51:5 53:15	53:2	18:11
exhibit 40:13	<b>files</b> 50:23	fullest 48:3	grindstone 32:7
existed 46:15,17	<b>filing</b> 50:25	<b>fully</b> 42:20 48:7	gross 48:12
50:20	<b>final</b> 40:8 45:4	fulsome 38:4	grounds 34:16
expeditiously	finalization 28:3	function 46:23	<b>group</b> 2:22 5:14
37:18 38:20	finance 8:14	<b>fund</b> 41:13,14,24	6:14 12:22 16:18
exposure 41:3,4	19:18	44:7,8 45:24	18:7,19 22:2
expressly 47:10	financial 1:15	<b>funding</b> 5:22 8:6	29:16
extended 43:16	2:22 3:22 4:6 5:14	12:6 18:11 19:14	<b>grow</b> 32:13
extension 28:8,18	9:14 12:22 16:2	21:17 28:15	guaranties 43:15
extensions 28:12	16:18 17:7,11	<b>funds</b> 40:25 41:5	guarantor 41:2
37:10	18:7 20:6 22:2	41:9 44:9	42:3 45:14,24
<b>extent</b> 31:3 33:6	<b>firm</b> 26:20 39:7	<b>further</b> 28:6 37:6	52:2,5,17
37:13,14,20 38:6	<b>first</b> 4:22 5:6	40:21 41:23	guaranty 41:3,5
41:2,10 46:4 48:3	11:22 17:20 18:2	g	41:21 43:16,21,23
49:17	21:12 27:5 28:15	g 26:1	46:4
f	35:16 36:3,4	g 20:1 gabriel 5:14 18:7	<b>guide</b> 26:19
<b>f</b> 15:21 56:1	fisher 12:22 22:2	garrett 53:17	h
facilitate 28:2	<b>five</b> 28:1,3 41:5,9	0	half 28:23 40:10
35:1 43:7 44:14	folks 30:25 38:24	getting 37:10	
fact 37:8 51:3	49:25	give 27:6 46:1	hamilton 28:17
	<b>follow</b> 42:16	52:25	hand 50:3
facts 31:6 42:23	following 29:4	given 30:24 31:10	hanh 25:18
factual 33:4,7	48:19 49:8	37:8 47:2	happen 37:3
factually 33:25		gives 52:23	happily 39:4
	Veriteyt Lea	<del></del>	

[happy - lani] Page 7

	I		Ι.
<b>happy</b> 29:1 38:24	5:3,11,19 6:3,11	i	investments 12:6
hard 35:20 36:9	6:19 7:3,11,19 8:3	<b>i.e.</b> 43:7,9	21:17
hear 33:11 34:3	8:11,19 9:3,11,19	idea 29:11 33:1	involving 40:2
35:10 38:24 39:3	10:3,11,19 11:3	identical 33:23,24	irrelevant 50:11
39:10	11:11,19 12:3,11	43:19	51:22
heard 34:23 38:25	12:19 13:3,11,19	identify 26:13,14	issue 33:12 45:22
39:23	14:3,11,19 15:3	39:24,25	italiano 25:6
<b>hearing</b> 16:1,5,9	16:2,6,10,14,18	<b>ignores</b> 46:23	ivanhoe 42:7
16:13,17,21 17:1	16:22 17:2,7,11	iii 25:9	j
17:6,10,15,19	17:16,20 18:2,7	il 24:20	john 25:8
18:1,6,10,14,18	18:11,15,19,23	imagine 29:4 36:9	join 40:6
18:22 19:1,5,9,13	19:2,6,10,14,18	immediately	jones 25:15
19:17,21 20:1,5,9	19:22 20:2,6,10	48:19,22	joseph 25:20
20:13,17,21 21:1	20:14,18,22 21:2	importantly	josh 32:9
21:6,11,16,21	21:7,12,17,22	50:14 51:2	joshua 24:8 25:21
22:1,5,10,14,18	22:2,6,11,15,19	include 27:24	26:22 34:13 37:5
22:22 23:1,6,10	22:23 23:2,7,10	28:4 38:21	judge 15:23 26:2
26:8,10 32:21	26:6,24 40:18,23	includes 27:17	judice 40:5
33:8,20 35:15	41:24	includes 27.17	june 35:5
36:1,7,10 39:12	holdouts 30:16	48:6	
39:17,18,20 51:4	31:4	inconsistency	k
51:13	home 3:6 13:6	49:20 51:21	<b>kappel</b> 6:14 18:19
hearings 36:20	16:22 22:6 28:17	inconsistent 49:19	<b>katz</b> 25:20
heart 31:1	homeservices 6:6	incurred 27:3	keep 32:8
<b>held</b> 42:12 43:11	18:15	indebtedness	kennedy 6:22
<b>helpful</b> 27:5 29:4	hon 15:22	51:25	18:23
henderson 24:10	honor 27:8 28:25	indemnification	kenneth 25:25
24:15 32:18,23,25	32:9 33:3,17,22	27:2 32:20	<b>kind</b> 30:9
33:2,3,14,16,22	34:1,11 35:11	independent	know 27:9 28:23
34:3,11	36:19 38:14 39:14	51:18	29:11 30:9 31:15
hepheastou 25:17	53:17,21 54:5	information 35:22	31:16,24 32:12,14
hereinafter 40:23	hope 30:6,13 31:2	informed 31:15	38:9 40:1 53:23
40:25 41:7 49:19	38:7 39:3 53:25	informs 31:19	knowing 33:9
heretofore 49:14	hopeful 28:21	ingrid 25:7	<b>knows</b> 37:24
49:19	hopefully 37:12	initial 26:25	<b>ko</b> 25:14
<b>highly</b> 37:25	38:16 40:9	insisted 44:22	kryptonite 51:7
50:15	<b>hour</b> 40:10	insisted 44.22 insisting 35:24	1
history 42:22	<b>howard</b> 25:23	instance 35:17	1 24:10,15
<b>hold</b> 34:6 35:16	hufendick 53:9	integrity 5:6 18:2	landeau 9:22
holding 45:25	huynh 25:18	integrity 5.0 18.2	20:10
<b>holdings</b> 1:7,12	hyde 23:25 56:3,8	international 42:4	lane 24:19
1:20 2:3,11,19 3:3	,	international 42:4	lani 25:22
3:11,19 4:3,11,19		micipiet 31.10	14111 23.22

[larger - million] Page 8

langer 27.12	10.2 11 10 11.2	52.0 55.4	mattans 27.6 20.7
larger 27:13	10:3,11,19 11:3	53:9 55:4	matters 27:6 38:7
largest 27:18 28:4	11:11,19 12:3,11	liquidate 47:21	maverick 40:3,19
latest 27:25	12:19 13:3,11,19	list 32:13 39:22	40:25,25 41:1,13
law 24:10,17	14:3,11,19 15:3	listening 53:8	41:14,23 42:2,5,6
44:20 45:19 48:4	16:1,5,9,13,17,21	litigation 32:1	42:10,18,25 43:4
lays 27:21	17:1,6,10,15,19	llc 6:6 7:14 12:6	43:6,11,12,17,20
<b>Ibhi</b> 40:23,23 41:1	18:1,6,10,14,18	18:15 19:6 21:17	43:20,25 44:1,3,7
41:4,8,12,13,14	18:22 19:1,5,9,13	llp 24:3	44:11,12,16,17,21
41:17 42:2,9,11	19:17,21 20:1,5,9	loan 42:7 43:9	44:21,22,25 45:1
43:16,18,21 44:5	20:13,17,21 21:1	loans 3:6 16:22	45:5,9,10,12,13
45:14 46:5,18,20	21:6,11,16,21	27:3 28:17 43:9	45:18,24,24 46:5
46:22 47:1,8,16	22:1,5,10,14,18	44:13,14 46:10,11	46:7,13,14,18,19
47:24 48:10 51:2	22:22 23:1,6,10	logical 35:25	47:1,11,12,13,14
51:6,8,16 52:2,4	26:5,23 27:1,4,9	long 32:3 41:23	47:18,22 50:3,8
52:12,16,17 53:2	27:12,15 30:1	42:22 45:24 53:24	50:11,14,20,23
53:18	31:11 32:4,6	look 39:10	51:12,22 52:3,4,6
lbhi's 41:2,6,21	34:14,18 35:5,21	losses 27:3	52:7,8,11,15,17
42:1,6,16 45:23	36:12 37:6 39:17	lot 29:23 30:1	52:18,25 53:5,14
46:4 47:3 50:11	40:18,23 41:24	36:8,22 37:24	55:6
53:5	42:4 43:2 44:1	38:24 39:10	maverick's 41:9
lbie 42:5,9 43:2,5	45:25 48:2,3	<b>lower</b> 49:7	41:17 42:11,17
43:11,12,22 44:12	49:12,13,16,18,22	m	44:6 45:3 46:22
44:17,20,20,25	lehman's 37:23	maher 24:3 26:22	46:25 47:5,8,21
45:1,7,8,9,9,11,13	40:2	maintain 43:3	48:1,10,11 50:21
46:7,9,14,16,24	<b>lenders</b> 2:6 16:10	maintains 45:5	51:3,24 52:14
47:9,11,14,14,20	<b>lending</b> 6:6 7:6,14	46:5,18	<b>maximum</b> 41:3,4
50:10,12,20,25	11:22 18:15 19:2	majority 27:16	49:23 52:6,10
51:11,13,22,25,25	19:6 21:12 43:8	man 54:5	mctieman 25:24
52:6,19 53:1	leski 24:19	management 37:7	mean 35:16 36:18
lbie's 43:12,14	letter 50:8	manner 52:21	36:19 38:23
45:17 47:1,6	letters 42:14	march 27:2 45:6	meaning 52:11
50:19 53:3	liabilities 47:5,11	51:4	measured 53:3
leave 29:18	47:18 48:2	margin 43:9,9	mechanisms
ledanski 23:25	liability 41:21	44:13 46:10	50:16
56:3,8	43:21 44:9 45:23	market 48:24	mediate 31:10
<b>left</b> 28:6,23	46:4 47:1		mediation 30:6,13
legal 56:20	liable 42:3 52:2	martin 53:19,20	30:15 31:17 38:10
lehman 1:7,12,20	53:2	maryland 7:14 19:6	merits 32:16
2:3,11,19 3:3,11	limit 52:24		35:14
3:19 4:3,11,19 5:3	limitation 48:7	master 43:8 48:13	mid 14:14 22:23
5:11,19 6:3,11,19	<b>limited</b> 29:9 47:7	48:17	<b>million</b> 37:25 41:6
7:3,11,19 8:3,11	line 33:1 35:9	matter 1:5 40:2,8	41:10 42:4,19
8:19 9:3,11,19	51:5,14,14,14,15	41:22	44:4,13,18,25
	. , ,		. ,
Veritevt Legal Solutions			

[million - page] Page 9

45:8,9,11,16 46:5	move 27:6 28:22	<b>nrf</b> 8:6 19:14	53:8,19
46:10,14 51:23,24	29:1 30:15 32:13	number 26:4	<b>old</b> 56:21
52:5,9,12,16,18	34:8 38:1	27:11	olivia 25:6
52:18	moved 28:8,11	numbers 26:7	olshan 25:5
<b>minds</b> 36:5	34:6	28:19	omnibus 40:21
mineola 56:23	<b>moving</b> 38:19	<b>nvr</b> 8:14 19:18	41:18
minutes 40:10	mtg 11:6 21:2	ny 15:12 24:6	open 45:3
missed 38:11	multiple 34:25	56:23	opposition 42:1
mla 47:9,15	43:15	0	42:11 45:20 52:7
mlas 43:9,14	mutual 51:1		optimistic 28:21
modified 50:18		o 15:21 26:1 56:1	29:24 32:6
mohan 25:4	n	oaks 10:22 20:22	oral 42:12
moment 49:25	<b>n</b> 24:1 26:1 55:1	object 33:16	order 38:8 40:11
money 31:25	56:1	objection 33:8	40:24 41:8,18
mooted 48:14	narrow 51:2	40:2,18,22 41:18	48:1 53:6,10,13
morning 26:2,3	nationwide 13:6	42:16 53:5	53:14
	22:6	obligation 46:24	ordered 30:6,13
26:13,22 33:3	nature 29:12	47:9 50:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
35:11 39:16,23	nearly 27:18	obligations 43:12	orders 30:3 37:7
mortgage 2:6,14	necessary 31:5	43:14,22 47:7,13	37:13
3:14 4:14,22 5:6	32:22 33:8 37:13	47:13 48:2,20	organizing 39:8
6:14,22 7:22 8:14	38:8,21	51:19	orr 42:8
8:22 9:6 10:6,14	need 31:10 33:20	<b>obligor</b> 42:5 45:13	ought 36:2
10:22 11:14 13:6	36:3,9	obtained 28:8,11	outcome 51:9
13:14,22 14:22	negotiate 32:22	28:18 37:9	outline 32:11
15:6 16:10,14	negotiations 30:5	obviously 29:22	outlined 29:24
17:2,16,20 18:2	30:11 31:9	31:9 32:16 37:12	38:15
18:19,23 19:10,18	neither 50:7	37:15 38:6	outlook 24:12
19:22 20:2,14,18	net 46:19 47:7	occasions 35:1	outreaches 34:22
20:22 21:7 22:6	netting 44:15	occur 46:2 48:19	outstanding 48:1
22:11,15 23:2,7	45:18 46:12,15,20	50:5 51:25	48:20
23:11 24:11,18	50:4,22 52:24	occurred 46:2	overtures 31:23
27:3 34:15,17,18	new 1:2 15:12	50:7	overwhelming
34:25 35:3,9,12	24:6 40:17	occurrence 48:18	27:15
mortgage's 34:20	non 45:19 48:23	october 42:15	owed 42:5 44:12
34:24	normal 54:2	offered 46:3	44:17 45:9,10,16
<b>motion</b> 31:5 32:20	northern 11:14	offices 24:10	46:5,9 47:13,22
34:14,16,19,21	21:7 23:11	offset 50:20	50:20 51:13,18
35:3,6,6,13 53:13	nose 32:7	oh 31:14	52:5,9
motions 32:11,15	notice 26:17 48:5	ohio 11:22 21:12	owing 46:14
32:18,22 33:4,24	noting 44:5	okay 29:20 30:22	р
37:6,21		31:13 32:8 34:2	<b>p</b> 24:1,1 26:1
motivated 32:4	notwithstanding 46:8		1 1
	40.0	34:10,13 37:5	page 29:8 51:5,14
		38:11,18 50:2	51:14,14,14 55:4

[paid - provides] Page 10

paid 42:10 44:25	39:2	pointed 28:14	48:5
48:7 49:1 51:22	pertinent 42:23	34:24	<b>private</b> 26:10 30:5
52:18	<b>peter</b> 25:12	<b>points</b> 47:8,16	31:9 39:20
pandemic 36:25	petersen 25:7	position 37:19	<b>problem</b> 36:14,15
<b>papers</b> 33:21 34:8	<b>petition</b> 41:6,7,15	44:23 46:22	proceed 37:18
paragraph 45:20	41:20 42:3,6,25	positions 45:3	proceeding 16:1,5
47:5,8,15,24 48:8	43:25 44:4,11,16	positive 47:3	16:9,13,17,21
48:9,13,14,17	44:19,24 45:23	<b>posits</b> 46:24	17:1,6,10,15,19
49:24 50:16,17	46:2,6,6,9,13,16	possibility 29:21	18:1,6,10,14,18
51:20 52:8	46:20,25 50:11,24	<b>posted</b> 44:7 51:17	18:22 19:1,5,9,13
paragraphs 44:10	51:12,23 52:1,10	potential 41:3,4	19:17,21 20:1,5,9
part 33:5 37:23	52:14 53:2,3	<b>pre</b> 16:3,7,11,15	20:13,17,21 21:1
38:2 47:9	<b>phone</b> 31:21	16:19,23 17:4,8	21:6,11,16,21
participate 26:13	36:11 38:24	17:13,17,21 18:4	22:1,5,10,14,18
30:13,18 39:23	pictures 39:2	18:8,12,16,20,24	22:22 23:1,6 26:7
particular 37:15	53:25	19:3,7,11,15,19	32:1 50:5
40:1	plainfield 24:20	19:23 20:3,7,11	proceedings 26:4
<b>parties</b> 37:15 38:5	plaintiff 1:13,21	20:15,19,23 21:4	27:1,12 39:11
38:12 42:13 43:11	2:4,12,20 3:4,12	21:9,14,19,23	41:23 54:7 56:4
43:13 44:23 45:4	3:20 4:4,12,20 5:4	22:3,8,12,16,20	proceeds 47:22
46:3 50:19 53:6	5:12,20 6:4,12,20	22:24 23:4 37:21	51:24
party 26:14 39:25	7:4,12,20 8:4,12	precious 29:13	process 29:9
43:1,5 48:20,23	8:20 9:4,12,20	prepare 53:10	processes 31:3
49:1,3,5,7 50:5	10:4,12,20 11:4	prepared 38:1	produced 37:24
<b>path</b> 32:1	11:12,20 12:4,12	present 25:1	progress 27:22
<b>patrick</b> 25:4,10	12:20 13:4,12,20	presentation 29:6	29:5 39:11
<b>patriot</b> 8:22 19:22	14:4,12,20 15:4	pretrial 26:3,18	progressed 29:13
paul 25:9	26:23	previously 34:5	<b>proof</b> 43:18
pause 49:25	<b>plan</b> 30:15 38:19	46:12	<b>proofs</b> 40:18,24
pay 45:8 48:21	41:18	primary 42:5	property 44:2
payable 49:6,8	<b>plate</b> 36:23	43:21 45:13	48:6
payment 43:16,23	platform 26:9	<b>prime</b> 9:6 20:2	proposed 45:2
pending 29:14	39:19	43:1,4,10 44:2	protection 44:20
32:11 37:6 40:3	please 26:13,15	46:21 47:16,19,24	49:23
percent 27:18	26:21 31:24 36:10	48:8,13,14,17	protections 49:11
performance	39:8,12,24 40:9	49:23 50:15,17,21	49:16
48:22	<b>plus</b> 7:22 19:10	50:23 51:10,17	provide 42:23
period 29:5	<b>point</b> 27:5 28:13	52:21,23	45:17
permitted 26:11	29:2,13,20 30:17	principal 30:18	provided 34:25
39:21 48:4	32:11 33:23,25	31:18	35:23
person 24:17,22	35:12 36:7,8	principals 30:21	provides 48:18
35:8,11,12,18	38:21 39:24 47:2	<b>prior</b> 32:20 35:1	49:10
36:13,18,24 37:4	51:3	41:16 42:25 47:10	

[provision - scc] Page 11

			_
provision 48:16	receive 45:8	remaining 27:17	resulted 46:13
49:10,22 50:22	received 35:2	45:15 51:23	<b>retain</b> 45:14
51:8 52:21	receiving 35:4	remains 42:3 53:2	return 46:24 47:7
provisions 46:21	38:1	remand 40:16,20	47:10,14 51:11
47:16 48:11,12	recess 39:15	41:8 42:2 44:9	52:5 54:1
49:17 51:21 52:23	recite 26:6	45:22 47:5 48:8	reversed 41:22
purchased 27:4	record 26:14,16	remanded 41:22	<b>right</b> 29:3 31:8,20
purportedly	36:11 37:19 39:24	remedies 49:11,15	32:14,25 33:18,21
48:11	50:6,13 53:11	remind 32:2	35:10 36:11 38:18
purpose 46:23	56:4	rendered 51:22	39:1 40:14 46:15
purposes 42:24	recording 26:10	renews 40:24 41:8	50:1,10,19 52:4
pursuant 42:6	39:20	<b>reply</b> 42:11 51:19	53:16,23
43:2,10 44:2	recordings 26:11	representation	<b>rights</b> 45:14 46:17
45:21 47:19	39:21	36:10	48:15 49:10,11,15
<b>pursue</b> 31:1 45:14	recover 52:17	represented 28:16	49:23 50:6 51:8
<b>put</b> 38:9 51:7 53:2	<b>recovery</b> 52:6,10	representing 35:9	<b>road</b> 56:21
<b>puts</b> 32:7	reduce 47:22	request 40:24	robert 25:13
q	reduced 45:10	41:8	roland 25:15
quantifies 41:4	refer 26:7	requesting 29:10	rosenthal 25:21
question 46:4	reference 40:11	requests 38:2	roster 26:12
questions 28:25	reflect 50:19	require 51:11	round 27:25
quickly 38:1	reflected 53:12	required 36:7	rule 42:20
quite 31:21 40:3	reflecting 44:13	residential 7:14	ruling 40:7,12,13
quote 43:22,24	46:6	19:6	40:15 45:21 50:2
44:1,3 45:7 47:3	reflects 28:6	resold 27:4	52:23 53:11
47:12,23,24,25	53:10	resolved 27:25	rulings 41:17 55:3
48:18 51:16 52:8	refusal 30:18	49:21	S
quoted 28:19	refused 30:12	resolving 27:10	s 24:1 26:1
r	45:1	respect 41:5,17	s.d.n.y. 41:25
	regard 51:4,12	respects 43:19	saab 9:14 20:6
r 15:21 24:1 26:1	registered 26:12	respond 34:9 35:5	salter 25:11
56:1	39:22	36:11,13,20	satisfaction 42:20
reach 39:8	related 27:3 37:25	responded 31:23	47:11,12
read 34:8 40:7,9	relevant 43:19	37:9	satisfy 48:1
53:21	52:20	responding 31:16	save 51:8
reading 40:14	reluctance 38:12	response 31:19	saying 33:11
ready 53:15	38:16	35:2 36:2	34:10
really 38:23	relying 46:21	rest 54:2	scc 1:3,10,18 2:1,9
reason 38:4 reasons 38:15	remain 27:22	restart 31:3	2:17 3:1,9,17 4:1
42:16 45:5 53:4	29:14	restricted 52:13	4:9,17 5:1,9,17
53:11	remainder 52:2	result 45:16 50:25	6:1,9,17 7:1,9,17
receipt 29:9	remained 45:3	52:20	8:1,9,17 9:1,9,17
1 eccipt 23.3			10:1,9,17 11:1,9

[scc - sure] Page 12

11:17 12:1,9,17	sent 34:23	situated 33:25	states 1:1 15:10		
13:1,9,17 14:1,9	sent 34.23 separate 31:5	six 40:25 43:17	40:16 43:22 47:25		
	43:1 51:18	sixth 41:13	53:11		
14:17 15:1 16:1,5		slocum 24:8 26:21			
16:9,13,17,21	separately 28:16		<b>stating</b> 47:11 52:8 <b>status</b> 23:12 27:21		
17:1,6,10,15,19	september 41:7	26:22 29:20 30:20			
18:1,6,10,14,18	42:13,14 43:17	31:2,9,14 32:9,9	28:6,20 29:19		
18:22 19:1,5,9,13	50:7 51:13	34:13,13 37:5,5	32:16		
19:17,21 20:1,5,9	services 1:15 3:22	38:14 39:14	stay 37:20		
20:13,17,21 21:1	5:6 16:2 17:7 18:2	smart 10:14 20:18	step 1:15 16:2		
21:6,11,16,21	set 33:18 35:5	24:18 34:15,17,17	sterling 14:22		
22:1,5,10,14,18	36:6 37:1 40:20	34:20,24,25 35:3	23:2		
22:22 23:1,6,10	44:24 49:5	35:9,12	stipulation 29:6		
schedule 32:23	setoff 44:15 45:18	socialize 53:14	stipulations 29:9		
33:13,17,18 34:7	46:12,15,17,19	<b>solutions</b> 3:14 4:6	strictly 30:23		
34:22 35:13,14	47:19 50:4,4,6,10	17:2,11 26:9	strokes 37:17 38:3		
36:6 37:11 38:2	50:12,16,19 51:1	39:19 56:20	strongly 31:22		
scheduled 27:1	52:1 53:1	sonya 23:25 56:3	strumpf 50:9		
scheduling 37:13	<b>setting</b> 33:13,16	56:8	<b>sub</b> 40:5		
38:8	38:22 52:13	sophia 25:17	subject 42:19		
scott 9:22 20:10	<b>settle</b> 30:4 37:15	<b>sorry</b> 33:15	43:15 44:15 46:19		
screen 53:25	38:5,7	<b>sort</b> 31:17	47:18 52:6,10		
seconds 37:1	settled 27:15	souders 25:19	<b>submit</b> 32:21,23		
section 50:18	settlement 27:17	<b>sought</b> 44:20	37:12 53:6		
secure 43:12 44:8	28:3 29:22,23	<b>sound</b> 29:2 33:14	submits 41:13		
secured 51:19	30:12 31:12,23	<b>sounds</b> 33:1 38:19	44:11,22 50:14		
<b>securities</b> 43:3,7,8	35:1 44:21 45:5,6	southern 1:2	submitted 42:13		
44:14 46:7,11	45:13	10:22 20:22 40:17	subsequently 52:2		
48:6,7,20,21,25	settlements 29:7	speak 26:14,15	substantively		
48:25	29:12 30:2	speaking 30:23	43:19		
see 29:12 32:25	sharon 25:3	specific 44:7	success 30:1,5,24		
38:4 39:2 41:17	shelly 15:22	47:20 50:6	successful 27:10		
41:23 44:9 45:20	shoreline 10:6	specifically 40:20	suggestion 35:21		
45:24 50:7 51:4	20:14	<b>speed</b> 29:25 37:11	suite 56:22		
51:13,19 52:7	<b>short</b> 43:7 44:14	spent 31:25	sum 49:2		
53:9,25	46:11	splendid 33:1	summary 29:3		
seeing 39:1	significant 33:4	stage 29:22	42:23		
seek 35:14 52:4	similar 27:11,12	stand 27:7	sums 49:4,5		
seeking 27:2	27:22	start 40:14	sun 54:1		
seeks 34:16 41:12	similarly 33:25	started 26:20	support 40:21		
seen 27:22 29:17	simply 35:24 53:2	28:23	47:6,23		
send 37:1 53:14	single 28:4 42:20	state 14:14 22:23	supreme 42:7		
sense 31:4 35:19	49:21	stated 44:5 46:12	sure 29:7 36:24		
		53:11			
	Varitant Lagal Calutions				

[svcs - withdraw] Page 13

		I	40.44.40.5
svcs 14:22 23:2	today 27:9,17	typically 30:20	19:14,18,22 20:2
sverd 25:12	28:2,9 29:16 30:9	u	20:6,10,14,18,22
t	31:21 38:21 39:8	<b>u.s.</b> 15:23 42:8	21:2,7,12,17,22
t 56:1,1	39:12 40:6 53:22	50:9	22:2,6,11,15,19
table 33:13	total 27:19	unambiguous	22:23 23:2,7
take 29:18 37:3	<b>tough</b> 30:4	47:23	41:24 42:7 45:25
40:10 47:21 50:12	tracy 24:10,15	unconditional	50:9,18
taken 49:2	trades 43:7 44:14	43:16	value 44:3,13 46:6
takes 36:25 50:5	46:11	understand 33:12	47:4
talking 34:4	transaction 43:13	34:2,4	<b>valued</b> 45:4 49:7
talks 31:12 35:1	transcribed 23:25	understanding	values 48:24
38:14	transcript 40:12	30:21	various 27:4
telephonically	51:5,13 53:12	understood 34:11	31:17 45:5
24:8,15,22 25:1	56:4	53:18	veritext 56:20
26:9 39:19	transfer 48:5	united 1:1 11:14	version 53:15
termination 48:24	treasure 14:22	15:10 21:7 23:11	view 45:2
49:3	23:3	40:16	virginia 12:14
terms 28:5 30:2	treat 46:18 47:17	unknown 15:25	21:22
30:11 31:16 37:23	treated 44:23		visibility 29:8
thank 29:20 32:9	treatment 45:2	unquote 45:7 unreasonable	voices 39:3
34:12 39:14 53:8	trend 29:15,17	unreasonable 51:10	W
	trial 16:3,7,11,15	unsuccessful	wait 39:10
53:17,20 54:3,4 thanks 39:7 54:5	16:19,23 17:4,8	30:11	
things 27:7 28:20	17:13,17,21 18:4		waiting 53:24 wanted 29:21
28:21 35:19 36:2	18:8,12,16,20,24	update 27:7	35:14
	19:3,7,11,15,19	urge 31:22	
36:22 45:15	19:23 20:3,7,11	use 26:19 35:6	warranted 37:20
think 27:22 28:21	20:15,19,23 21:4	48:5	water 50:1
30:1 31:24 32:4	21:9,14,19,23	utah 3:6 16:22	wave 30:10
33:22 35:6 38:23	22:3,8,12,16,20	V	way 32:17 34:10
thirds 50:1	22:24 23:4 38:22	v 1:14,22 2:5,13	35:20,20,25 36:2
thomas 25:16	tried 34:20	2:21 3:5,13,21 4:5	39:5 50:2 51:11
thought 27:4	trip 40:4	4:13,21 5:5,13,21	we've 27:22 29:17
three 29:15 32:18	true 44:6 56:4	6:5,13,21 7:5,13	35:1 37:24
32:19 34:15 52:25	trust 11:6 14:14	7:21 8:5,13,21 9:5	weeks 37:14 38:8
time 9:6 20:2	21:2 22:23	9:13,21 10:5,13	william 25:5
26:15 29:5 31:7	try 35:19	10:21 11:5,13,21	willing 30:25
31:25 32:3 35:7	trying 33:23 34:1	12:5,13,21 13:5	36:16 38:9 44:6
37:1 40:3,5 44:18	37:1 39:5	13:13,21 14:5,13	wilton 24:22
47:2 48:4 53:20	two 28:15 29:14	14:21 15:5 16:2,6	35:11
53:21,24	43:9 44:14 45:16	16:10,14,18,22	wish 39:23
times 34:21 54:2	46:3 50:1 51:9	17:2,7,11,16,20	wishes 38:25
<b>timothy</b> 25:11	52:23	18:2,7,11,15,19	withdraw 34:21
	34.43	18:23 19:2,6,10	35:3
	I .	ral Solutions	l .

[wollmuth - york] Page 14

wollmuth 24:3 26:20,22 39:7 wong 25:13 word 53:15 words 33:11 34:3 47:3 50:22 worked 37:11 working 32:5 world 39:5 worth 44:5

## X

**x** 1:4,9,11,17,19 1:25 2:2,8,10,16 2:18,24 3:2,8,10 3:16,18,24 4:2,8 4:10,16,18,24 5:2 5:8,10,16,18,24 6:2,8,10,16,18,24 7:2,8,10,16,18,24 8:2,8,10,16,18,24 9:2,8,10,16,18,24 10:2,8,10,16,18 10:24 11:2,8,10 11:16,18,24 12:2 12:8,10,16,18,24 13:2,8,10,16,18 13:24 14:2,8,10 14:16,18,24 15:2 15:8 55:1

## y

ye 25:3 yeah 36:22 year 27:2 years 29:15 30:2 44:19 yesterday 28:14 york 1:2 15:12 24:6 40:17